Analytic Philosophy Generator

	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
0	As Lewis taught us in a classic series of articles,	remarkably ahistorical analytic metaphysics	always lead to	some strong epistemic closure principle.
1	Few analytic philosophers have ever denied that	(the early) Goldman's causal analyses of knowledge	are fraught with	an unnacceptably Russelian picture of the world.
2	On the standard Kripkean modal semantics	both definite descriptions and proper names	are not a logically sufficient condition for	genuinely persuasive counterexamples.
3	If sensory experience is veridical, we must posit that	nearly all the deliverances of normal science	bolster Nozick's radical account of	the lottery paradox.
4	Intuitively, it seems obvious that	trope theories	cannot generate	Nagelian what-it's-likenesses.
5	It is a consequence of proper functionalism that	polyadic predicates	Gettierize	instances of nomological necessity.
6	It is uniquely puzzling for direct reference theorists that	some quantifications over possible worlds	reduce to	non-human consciousness.
7	An incredulous stare is the only response we can give to the thesis that	supposed mind/body "problems"	suggest a solution vis-à-vis	something like Rawls' famous Difference Principle.
8	Despite Quine's influence, all too many have affirmed that	van Inwagen's mysterianism(s) about free will	supervene on	stage theory iterations of four-dimensionalism.
9	While the contextualist disagrees, we still hold that	various Platonic doctrines about universals	undermine the plausibility of	zombie arguments.

Pick one phrase from each column; string them all together for hilarious results. Bonus points if the resulting sentence is true!

-Andrew M. Bailey

www.andrewmbailey.com